

EVA STAR (2014), 2 pp.

<http://nbn-resolving.org/urn:nbn:de:swb:90-419398>

Remark on linear spaces

Peter Volkmann

Let Λ be a field. A linear space over Λ is a commutative group V together with a multiplication $\cdot : \Lambda \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following:

- 1) $\lambda \cdot (x + y) = \lambda \cdot x + \lambda \cdot y \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda; x, y \in V),$
- 2) $(\lambda + \mu) \cdot x = \lambda \cdot x + \mu \cdot x \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda; x \in V),$
- 3) $(\lambda\mu) \cdot x = \lambda \cdot (\mu \cdot x) \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda; x \in V),$
- 4) $1 \cdot x = x \quad (x \in V).$

For proving that a subset W of a linear space V is a linear subspace, it is sufficient to show

$$\begin{aligned} W &\neq \emptyset, \\ x, y \in W &\Rightarrow x + y \in W, \\ \lambda \in \Lambda, x \in W &\Rightarrow \lambda \cdot x \in W. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it is not necessary to check explicitly the group-structure of W . Now we shall see that, analogously, it is not necessary to use the group-notation explicitly in the definition of a linear space.

Theorem. *Let V be a set, Λ a field, and let $+$: $V \times V \rightarrow V$, \cdot : $\Lambda \times V \rightarrow V$ be given. Then V is a linear space over Λ if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- I) $V \neq \emptyset,$
- II) $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z) \quad (x, y, z \in V),$
- III) $x + y = y + x \quad (x, y \in V),$
- 1), 2), 3), 4) from above, and
- 5) $0 \cdot x = 0 \cdot y \quad (x, y \in V),$

where 0 denotes the zero of Λ .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that I) - III) and 1) - 5) imply V to be a group: Because of I) and 5),

$$\theta = 0 \cdot x \quad (x \in V)$$

is a well defined element of V , and we only need to verify

$$x + \theta = x \quad (x \in V), \quad x + (-1) \cdot x = \theta \quad (x \in V).$$

Both formulas easily follow from 2), 4), and the definition of θ :

$$\begin{aligned} x + \theta &= 1 \cdot x + 0 \cdot x = (1 + 0) \cdot x = 1 \cdot x = x, \\ x + (-1) \cdot x &= 1 \cdot x + (-1) \cdot x = (1 + (-1)) \cdot x = 0 \cdot x = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Example showing the above theorem to be false, when 5) is not required:
Let $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ satisfy I), II), III), and

$$\text{IV)} \quad x + x = x \quad (x \in V)$$

(e.g., $V = 2^E = \{x \mid x \subseteq E\}$ being the power-set of a set E , where $+$ means the union of subsets of E , i.e., $x + y = x \cup y$ for $x, y \in V$). When defining $\cdot: \Lambda \times V \rightarrow V$ by

$$\lambda \cdot x = x \quad (\lambda \in \Lambda, x \in V),$$

then 1), 2), 3), 4) are fulfilled, but V is not a linear space, unless V is a singleton. (In a linear space V , condition IV) forces every element to be zero.)

Typescript: Marion Ewald.

Author's address: Institut für Analysis, KIT, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany